

Test Report: Containment Systems

Mehr Sicherheit. Mehr Wert.

Client Blobel Umwelttechnik GmbH

Henleinstrasse 29a

86368 Gersthofen, Germany

Test objects Designation: Containment systems / Retention bar-

(Category 3: automatic, fixed, rotatable)

Designation (dimensions): Type BL/BED-PM (250x30) Designation (dimensions): Type BL/BDD-PM (250x30)

Order Participation in the leak testing of mobile containment

systems for firefighting water retention based on VdS

Guidelines 2564-1

Test result Leaktightness Type BL/BED-PM: **0 ml/m/h** (< 50 ml/m/h)

Leaktightness Type BL/BDD-PM: 37 ml/m/h (< 50 ml/m/h)

Authors/contact Dipl.-Ing. Martin Beike


Project number 1180345-K3-30 / 2931886


Test date 16 August 2018 and 28 September 2018

Report completion 16/11/2018

Scope of report 3 pages

Type BL/BED-PM Type BL/BDD-PM





The document consists of 4 pages. Page 1 of 4

1180345-K3-30_BTG_Fa Blobel_Prufung-

Dichtheit_Störfallsysteme_TYPE

Date: 16/11/2018

N BED EN.docx

Reference: IS-BT1-MUC/Bm

Document:

Reproducing excerpts from this document and use for advertising purposes require the written con-

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH.

Die Prüfergebnisse beziehen sich ausschließlich auf die untersuchten Prüfgegenstände.



Page 2 of 3 Copy – only the signed original copy is legally binding Reference, Date, Project No.: IS-BT1-MUC, Bm /-Sj, 16/11/2018, 1180345-K3-30 / 2931886

Blobel Umwelttechnik GmbH, Henleinstrasse 29a, 86368 Gersthofen, Germany

Test objects: Type BL/BED-PM and Type BL/BDD-PM

Order: Participation in the leak testing of mobile containment systems for firefighting water retention based on VdS



Industrie Service

1 **Basis**

With the letter dated 18 July 2018, TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV SÜD) was engaged by Blobel Umwelttechnik GmbH, Henleinstrasse 29a, 86368 Gersthofen, Germany as follows: Participation in the leak testing of mobile containment systems for firefighting water retention based on VdS Guidelines 2564-1, dated October 2004.

2 **Actions / Notes**

On 22 December 2017 and 16 August 2018 measurement meetings with leak testing based on Chap. 5.8 of the VdS Guidelines 2564-1 took place in the factory hall-type buildings of Blobel Umwelttechnik GmbH. Once in the hall at Ziegelleistr. 5 and once at Henleinstrasse 29a each in 86368 Gersthofen, Germany.

The following systems were examined: Type BL/BED-PM and Type BL/BDD-PM.

Test sequence (based on Chap. 5.8 of the VdS Guidelines 2564-1):

One-hour leak test

The following deviations from the leak test in accordance with Chap. 5.8 of VdS 2564-1 were found:

1. Substrate "Diamond height of 2 mm to DIN 59 220":

VdS 2564-1 specifies: "Diamond plate (checker plate) with a diamond height of 2 mm top DIN 59220 is used to simulate the substrate of the subsequent use conditions." The diamond height was 1.2 mm.

Products to DIN 59220 have a diamond (pattern) height of 1 mm to 2 mm. The question here is therefore whether the protection objective "leaktightness" to VdS 2564-1 really has to be verified for a diamond height of 2 mm or 1 mm to 2 mm, i.e. whether this is a transfer error from DIN 59220. To what extent a 2 mm, i.e. 0.8 mm higher diamond height than present actually influences the leaktightness test cannot be reliably foreseen without testing. But the diamond height used is standard and conforms to DIN 59220. The objective of the diamonds is to simulate the usual roughness of the substrate in the test. This is also achieved properly by a diamond height of 1.2 mm. The diamond height is therefore representative for different roughnesses.

Page 3 of 3 Copy – only the signed original copy is legally binding Reference, Date, Project No.: IS-BT1-MUC, Bm /-Sj, 16/11/2018, 1180345-K3-30 / 2931886

Client: Blobel Umwelttechnik GmbH, Henleinstrasse 29a, 86368 Gersthofen, Germany

Test objects: Type BL/BED-PM and Type BL/BDD-PM

Order: Participation in the leak testing of mobile containment systems for firefighting water retention based on VdS

Guidelines 2564-1



Industrie Service

2. Test period of the main test at least 4 h and at least 1 h for the repeat testing:

A test period of 1 h was chosen instead of 4 h. A repeat test was not performed. In this case the moisture penetration was observed and no significant time changes were found. The reduction in the test period is therefore justified.

3 Test result

Blobel Umwelttechnik GmbH had the following containment systems / retention barriers successfully tested with the following test result.

Category 3: "Automatic systems, fixed, rotatable"		Leak rate		Verification fulfilled
Test object Type	Test length / height [mm] / [mm]	Exist. [ml / m / h]	All. [ml / m / h]	
Type BL/BED-PM Seal type DEP EPDM foam black / closed cell $\rho \approx 95 \text{ kg/m}^3$	2,500/300	0	50	yes
Type BL/BDD-PM Seal type DEP EPDM foam black / closed cell $\rho \approx 95 \text{ kg/m}^3$	2,500/300	37	50	yes

The test showed: The containment systems / retention barriers Type BL/BED-PM and Type BL/BDD-PM conform to the leaktightness based on Chap. 5.8 of VdS 2564-1.

Issued on 16/11/2018

TUV SUD Industrie Service GmbH	
Civil engineering Munich	Expert

gez. gez.

Dipl. Ing. Martin Kneißl Dipl.-Ing. Martin Beike